Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

T. Ravishankar,^a K. Chinnakali,^a† S. Nanjundan,^b C. S. Jone Selvamalar,^b Akilan Ramnathan,^c Anwar Usman^d and Hoong-Kun Fun^d*

^aDepartment of Physics, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India, ^c2A Mothilal Nehru Marg, New Delhi 4, India, and ^dX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

† Additional correspondence author, email: kali@annauniv.edu.

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.040 wR factor = 0.119 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The title molecule, $C_{17}H_{16}O_4$, is nearly planar, with a dihedral angle of 6.64 (6)° between the two aromatic rings. The configuration of the keto group with respect to the olefinic double bond is s-*cis*. O-H···O and C-H··· π hydrogen bonds link the molecules to form layers parallel to the *ab* plane. The crystal structure is further stabilized by a number of C-H···O interactions, which form rings of $R_2^1(5)$, $R_2^1(6)$ and $R_1^2(5)$ graph set motifs.

Comment

Chalcones and their heterocyclic analogues show various biological effects, *e.g.* anti-inflammatory, antitumour, antibacterial, antituberculous, antiviral, antiprotozoal, gastroprotective and others (Shibata, 1994; Gafner *et al.*, 1996; Opletalova & Sedivy, 1999; Xia *et al.*, 2000; Popova *et al.*, 2001). The cytotoxic, anticancer, chemopreventative, mutagenic, antiviral, antiprotozoal and insecticidal activities of a variety of chalcones have been reviewed by Dimmock *et al.* (1999). In addition, hydroxychalcones can be readily reacted with acryloyl and methacryloyl chloride to obtain acrylate and methacrylate monomers, which are useful for the preparation of photo-cross-linkable polymers (Subramanian *et al.*, 2001; Balaji & Nanjundan, 2002). The structure determination of the title compound, (I), was undertaken as part of our study of chalcones.



In the title molecule, the configuration of the keto group with respect to the olefinic double bond is s-*cis*, as seen from the C7–C8–C9–O1 torsion angle of $-3.1 (2)^{\circ}$ (Fig. 1). A similar conformation has been previously reported for related structures (Raj *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Jeyabharathi *et al.*, 2002; Ravishankar *et al.*, 2003). The two aromatic rings and the unsaturated ketone system are nearly coplanar, with a dihedral angle of 6.64 (6)° between the two rings. The two methoxy groups are almost coplanar with the attached ring, the C17–O3–C4–C5 and C16–O2–C3–C2 torsion angles being 11.5 (2) and -4.6 (2)°, respectively. The widening of the C5–C6–C7 [122.5 (1)°] and C6–C7–C8 [128.2 (1)°] angles from

Received 27 June 2003 Accepted 9 July 2003 Online 17 July 2003

120° can be ascribed to the short interatomic contact between atoms H5 and H8 [2.24 (2) Å]. In addition, the strain induced by the short H8···H11 [2.07 (2) Å] contact results in a slight opening of the C9-C10-C11 angle to 123.6 (1)°. Similar features have also been reported for a related structure (Ravishankar et al., 2003). The close approach of methyl atoms H17B and H17C to atom H5 $[H5 \cdot \cdot \cdot H17B = 2.34 (2) \text{ Å}$ and $H5 \cdots H17C = 2.34$ (2) Å] and atom H16C to atom H2 $[H2 \cdots H16C = 2.28 (3) \text{ Å}]$ results in the widening of the O3-C4-C5 $[125.40 (11)^{\circ}]$ and O2-C3-C2 $[125.59 (11)^{\circ}]$ angles, respectively. The narrowing of the O2-C3-C4 [114.65 (11)°] and O3-C4-C3 [114.71 (10)°] angles indicates that the lone pair-lone pair repulsion between the two methoxy O atoms is small compared with the $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ repulsion involving the methyl H atoms.

In the crystal structure, screw-related molecules are linked by $O4-H4\cdots O1^{1}$ hydrogen bonds to form chains along the b axis. The adjacent inversion-related chains are linked by centrosymmetric C17–H17B··· Cg^x interactions (Cg denotes the centroid of the aromatic C1-C6 ring) to form a molecular layer parallel to the *ab* plane (Fig. 2). The crystal structure is further stabilized by a number of $C-H\cdots O$ interactions



Figure 1

A view of the title molecule, with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

A view of the molecular layer, showing $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds and $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi$ interactions.

(Fig. 3 and Table 2), whose H...O distances agree with the range reported for C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Jeffrey, 1997; Desiraju & Steiner, 1999). The O4-H4...O1ⁱ and C14-H14...O1ⁱ interactions together form a pair of bifurcated acceptor bonds, which generate a ring with a graph set motif of $R_2^1(5)$ (Etter *et al.*, 1990). An $R_2^1(6)$ motif is formed by the C1- $H1 \cdots O2^{ii}$ and $C7 - H7 \cdots O2^{ii}$ interactions. The C1 - $H1 \cdots O2^{ii}$ and $C1 - H1 \cdots O3^{ii}$ interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated donor bonds, generating a ring with a graph set motif of $R_1^2(5)$. All symmetry codes are given in Table 2.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by stirring an alcoholic solution of 4-hydroxyacetophenone with 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde in the presence of sodium hydroxide for 3 d at 273-283 K. The reaction mixture was then neutralized with dilute HCl and the precipitated crude product was filtered off, washed and recrystallized from ethanol.

Crystal data

C17H16O4 $D_x = 1.288 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 284.30$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Cell parameters from 5614 a = 10.5750 (6) Å reflections b = 12.8709(7) Å $\theta = 2.4 - 28.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ c = 11.0612 (6) Å $\beta = 103.071 \ (1)^{\circ}$ T = 293 (2) K $V = 1466.53 (14) \text{ Å}^3$ Block, vellow Z = 4 $0.60 \times 0.56 \times 0.52 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

- Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer
- ω scans 9034 measured reflections
- 3530 independent reflections 2922 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.3^{\circ}$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 14$



Figure 3 A view of the C-H···O interactions. Only those H atoms involved in the interactions are labelled.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0563P)^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	+ 0.2916P
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3530 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
255 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
All H-atom parameters refined	Extinction correction: SHELXTL
-	Extinction coefficient: 0.018 (2)

 Table 1

 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

01-C9	1.2359 (15)	O4-C13	1.3586 (15)
O2-C3	1.3607 (14)	C6-C7	1.4556 (15)
O2-C16	1.4146 (19)	C7-C8	1.3340 (17)
O3-C4	1.3643 (14)	C8-C9	1.4683 (16)
O3-C17	1.4188 (18)	C9-C10	1.4798 (16)
O2-C3-C2	125.59 (11)	C5-C6-C7	122.50 (10)
O2-C3-C4	114.65 (11)	C8-C7-C6	128.22 (11)
O3-C4-C3	114.71 (10)	C11-C10-C9	123.55 (10)
O3-C4-C5	125.40 (11)		
C16-O2-C3-C2 C16-O2-C3-C4	-4.6(2) 174.84(15)	C17-O3-C4-C3 C7-C8-C9-O1	-168.04(13) -3.1(2)
C17-O3-C4-C5	11.5 (2)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	
O4-H4···O1 ⁱ	0.93 (2)	1.76 (2)	2.686 (1)	176 (2)	
$C14-H14\cdots O1^{i}$	0.97 (2)	2.63 (2)	3.311 (2)	127 (1)	
$C7-H7\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.96 (2)	2.87 (2)	3.581 (2)	132 (1)	
$C1-H1\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.98 (2)	2.66 (2)	3.609 (2)	163 (1)	
$C1 - H1 \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.98 (2)	2.62 (2)	3.417 (2)	139 (1)	
C12-H12···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99 (2)	2.74 (2)	3.674 (2)	158 (1)	
$C15-H15\cdots O4^{iv}$	0.98 (2)	2.91 (2)	3.841 (2)	159 (1)	
$C16-H16A\cdotsO1^{v}$	1.02 (2)	2.98 (2)	3.886 (3)	148 (2)	
$C16-H16C \cdots O1^{vi}$	0.97 (2)	2.66 (2)	3.528 (2)	149 (2)	
C16−H16C···O4 ^{vii}	0.97(2)	2.86 (2)	3.333 (2)	111 (1)	
$C17 - H17A \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{viii}$	1.01(2)	2.95 (2)	3.808 (2)	144 (1)	
$C17-H17C\cdots O4^{ix}$	1.01(2)	2.62(2)	3.467 (2)	142 (1)	
$C17-H17B\cdots Cg^{x}$	0.99 (2)	2.89 (2)	3.803 (2)	154 (1)	

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{3}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$ (iii) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z; (iv) $\frac{3}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (v) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (vi) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (vii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (viii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} - z;$ (ix) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (x) -x, -y, -z.

All H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and their positional and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. The range of C–H distances is 0.95 (2)–1.02 (2) Å and the O–H distance is 0.93 (2) Å. The $U_{\rm iso}$ (H) values lie in the range 0.047 (4)–0.103 (7) Å². Owing to the large fraction of weak data at higher angles, the completeness of the data is low (0.97).

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*, *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

AU thanks Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Postdoctoral Fellowship. HKF thanks the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 305/PFIZIK/610961.

References

- Balaji, R. & Nanjundan, S. (2002). J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 86, 1023-1037.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dimmock, J. R., Elias, D. W., Beazely, M. A. & Kandepu, N. M. (1999). Curr. Med. Chem. 6, 1125–1149.
- Etter, M. C., Macdonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256-262.
- Gafner, S., Wolfender, J. L., Mavi, S. & Hostettmann, K. (1996). Planta Med. 62, 67–69.
- Jeffrey, G. A. (1997). An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Jeyabharathi, A., Ponnuswamy, M. N., Nanjundan, S., Fun, H.-K., Chandrapromma, S., Usman, A. & Razak, I. A. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, o26–o28. Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Opletalova, V. & Sedivy, D. (1999). Ceska. Slov. Farm. 48, 252-255.
- Popova, M., Bankova, V., Spassov, S., Tsvetkova, I., Naydenski, C., Silva, M. V. & Tsartsarova, M. (2001). Z. Naturforsch. Teil C, 56, 593–596.
- Ravishankar, T., Chinnakali, K., Nanjundan, S., Radhakrishnan, S., Usman, A. & Fun, H.-K. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, o138–0140.
- Shanmuga Sundara Raj, S., Ponnuswamy, M. N., Shanmugam, G. & Nanjundan, S. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 3145–3146.
- Shanmuga Sundara Raj, S., Ponnuswamy, M. N., Shanmugam, G. & Nanjundan, S. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 917–918.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Shibata, S. (1994). Stem Cells, 12, 44-52.

Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

- Subramanian, K., Nanjundan, S. & Rami Reddy, A. V. (2001). *Eur. Polym. J.* **37**, 691–698.
- Xia, Y., Yang, Z. Y., Xia, P., Bastow, K. F., Nakanishi, Y. & Lee, K. H. (2000). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 699–701.